

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Y. KOKUZAWA, et al.
Serial No.: 10/779,626
Filed: FEBRUARY 18, 2004
Title: FRESNEL LENS SHEET AND REAR PROJECTION SCREEN
Group AU: 2851
Examiner: Christopher E. Mahoney
Confirm. No.: 9089

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Mail Stop: AF – NO FEE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

March 27, 2006

SIR:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 27, 2006, Applicants respectfully submit the following arguments traversing the prior art rejections set forth on pages 2 and 3 of this Office Action mailed January 27, 2006.

Initially, Applicants thank the Examiner for the indicated allowance of claims 17, 18, 24 and 25; and the indication by the Examiner that the subject matter of claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14-16, 22 and 23 would be allowable if set forth in independent form. However, as set forth in the following, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1, the claim upon which claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14-16, 22 and 23, are ultimately dependent, should be allowed, and, accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all claims remaining in the application should be allowed without further amendment.

Specifically, Applicants respectfully traverse the prior art rejections of their claims in the Office Action mailed January 27, 2006, and respectfully submit that all